arXiv:1510.01410v1 [math.CV] 6 Oct 2015

Fatou's interpolation theorem implies the Rudin-Carleson theorem

Arthur A. Danielyan

October 7, 2015

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to show that the Rudin-Carleson interpolation theorem is a direct corollary of Fatou's much older interpolation theorem (of 1906).

1 Introduction.

Denote by Δ and T the open unit disk and the unit circle in the complex plane, respectively. Recall that the disk algebra A is the algebra of all functions on the closed unit disk $\overline{\Delta}$ that are analytic on Δ.

The following theorem is fundamental; in particular it implies the F. and M. Riesz theorem on analytic measures (cf. [5], pp. 28-31).

Theorem A (P. Fatou, 1906). *Let E be a closed subset of T such that $m(E) = 0$ (m is the Lebesgue measure on T). Then there exists a function $\lambda_E(z)$ in the disk algebra A such that $\lambda_E(z) = 1$ on F and $|\lambda_E(z)| < 1$ on $T \setminus E$.*

In its original form Fatou's theorem states the existence of an element of A which vanishes precisely on F, but it is equivalent to the above version (cf. [5], p. 30, or [4], pp. 80-81).

The following famous theorem, due to W. Rudin [7] and L. Carleson [1], has been the starting point of many investigations in complex and functional analysis (including several complex variables).

Theorem B (Rudin - Carleson). *Let E be a closed set of measure zero on T and let f be a continuous (complex valued) function on E. Then there exists a function g in the disk algebra A agreeing with f on E.*

It is obvious from Theorem A and Theorem B that for any $\epsilon > 0$ one can choose the extension function g in Theorem B such that it is bounded by $||f||_E + \epsilon$, where $||f||_E$ is the sup norm of f on E. Rudin has shown that one can even choose the function g such that it is bounded by $||f||_E$.

Quite naturally, as mentioned already by Rudin, Theorem B may be regarded as a strengthened form of Theorem A (cf. [7], p. 808).

The present paper shows that Theorem B also is an elementary corollary of Theorem A. To be more specific, we present a brief proof of Theorem B merely using Theorem A and the Heine - Cantor theorem (from Calculus 1 course); this approach may find further applications. We use a simple argument based on uniform continuity, which has been known (at least since 1930s) in particular to M.A. Lavrentiev [6], M.V. Keldysh, and S.N. Mergelyan, but has not been used for the proof of Theorem B before.

2 Proof of Theorem B

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By uniform continuity we cover E by disjoint open intervals $I_k \subset T$ of a finite number n such that $|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| < \epsilon$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in E \cap I_k$ $(k = 1, 2, ..., n)$. Denote $E_k = E \cap I_k$ and let $\lambda_{E_k}(z)$ be the function provided by Theorem A. Fix a natural number N so large that $|\lambda_{E_k}(z)|^N < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$ on $T \setminus I_k$ for all k. Fix a point $t_k \in E_k$ for each k and denote $h(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(t_k)[\lambda_{E_k}(z)]^N$. Obviously the function $h \in A$ is bounded on T by the number $(1+\epsilon)||f||_E$ and $|f(z) - h(z)| < \epsilon(1 + ||f||_E)$ if $z \in E$. Replacing h by $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}h$ allows to assume that h is bounded on T simply by $||f||_E$ and $|f(z) - h(z)| < \epsilon(1 + 2||f||_E)$ if $z \in E$. Letting $\epsilon = \frac{1}{m}$ provides a sequence $\{h_m\}$, $h_m \in A$, which is uniformly bounded on T by $||f||_E$ and uniformly converges to f on E.

To complete the proof, we use the following known steps (cf. e.g. [2]). Let $\eta > 0$ be given and let $\eta_n > 0$ be such $\sum \eta_n < \eta$. We can find $H_1 = h_{m_1} \in A$ such that $|H_1(z)| \leq ||f||_E$ on T and $|f(z) - H_1(z)| < \eta_1$ on E. Letting $f_1 = f - H_1$ on E, the same reasoning yields $H_2 \in A$ with $|H_2(z)| \leq ||f_1||_E < \eta_1$ on T and $|f_1(z) - H_2(z)| < \eta_2$ on E. Similarly we find $H_n \in A$ for $n = 3, 4, ...$, with appropriate properties. The convergence of the series $||f||_E + \eta_1 + \eta_2 + ...$ implies that the series $\sum H_n(z)$ converges uniformly on $\overline{\Delta}$ to a function $g \in A$, which is bounded by $||f||_E + \eta$. On E holds $|f - g| = |(f - H_1) - H_2 - ... - H_n -$

$...| = |(f_1 - H_2) - H_3 - ... - H_n - ...| = ... = |(f_{n-1} - H_n) - ...| \leq \eta_n + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \eta_k$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\eta_n + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \eta_k) = 0$, it follows that $g = f$ on E, which completes the proof.

Remark. The known proofs of Theorem B use Theorem A and a polynomial approximation theorem (cf. [3], p. 125; or [4], pp. 81-82). The latter is needed to approximate f on E by elements of the disc algebra A. The above proof uses just Theorem A to provide such approximation of f on E by elements of A, which in addition are bounded by $||f||_E$ on T.

References

[1] L. Carleson, Representations of continuous functions, Math. Z., **66** (1957), 447-451.

[2] A.A. Danielyan, On a polynomial approximation problem, Journal of Approx. Theory, **162** (2010), 717-722.

[3] J.B. Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, 1981.

[4] K. Hoffman, Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.

[5] P. Koosis, Introduction to H^p Spaces, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.

[6] M. Lavrentieff, Sur les fonctions d'une variable complexe représntables par des séries de polynômes, Actual. Sci. et Industr., 441, Paris, Hermann 1936.

[7] W. Rudin, Boundary values of continuous analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **7** (1956), 808-811.

Arthur A. Danielyan
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of South Florida
Tampa, Florida 33620
USA
e-mail: adaniely@usf.edu